

AJP PEER REVIEWER PROCESS

The charge of both expert and general reviewers is to evaluate the quality, relevance, and merit of submitted manuscripts and CE articles to the Arizona Journal of Pharmacy.

Expert Reviewers should consider themselves as mentors to the author(s) as comments should be specific, constructive, and suggestions should be intended to enhance the manuscript or continuing pharmacy education article. Comments should be as complete and as detailed as possible and contain clear opinions about strengths, weaknesses, quality of research, relevance, and significance to the field. To ensure that the manuscripts/continuing educational articles published meet the highest standards, each manuscript/continuing educational article under consideration is sent to at least two expert reviewers. Expert reviewers will make one of three recommendations: accept the article, return it to the author(s) for revision, or reject.

Expertise can be defined by:

- Board Certification in that area
- Completion of a PGY2 Residency Program in that area
- 3-5 years practice experience where you spent at least 30% of your time in direct patient care activities
- Other equivalent training/degree

General Reviewers should consider themselves as mentors to the author(s) as comments should be specific and constructive, and suggestions should be intended to enhance the manuscript or continuing pharmacy education article. General Reviewers will be sought on a range of topics and will review the article for readability, grammar suggestions, as well as assess any CE article for the time it takes to complete the activity to assist in the accreditation process.

Article Topics:

Acute Care	Administration/Management	Cardiovascular
Diabetes	Drug Information	Endocrinology
Geriatrics	Hematology/Oncology	HIV
Infectious Disease	Herbals, OTC's, Self-Care	Medication Safety
Men's Health/Women's Health	Neurology	Pain Management
Palliative Care	Pediatrics	Psychiatry
Public Policy/Pharmacy Law	Respiratory	Substance Use Disorders

Reviewer Commitments:

- Agree to return manuscripts in a timely manner, i.e. typically a 14-day timeframe;
- Agree not to distribute manuscripts under review or to disclose information within the manuscript;
- Agree to review subsequent revisions of a manuscript for which he/she provided the initial review;
- Agree to be evaluated as a reviewer, which may influence future selection to review.

If interested in serving as a reviewer, please apply online: <https://azpharmacy.org/arizona-journal-of-pharmacy/>

Thank you for your interest in supporting *Arizona Journal of Pharmacy*